

वागर्थः

(An International Journal of Sanskrit Research)

Cātvāla in Myths and Rituals

Dr. MugdhaGadgil Assistant Professor, Department of Sanskrit and Prakrit Language SavitribaiPhule Pune University, Pune mugdha.gb@gmail.com

Abstract: Present paper attempts to analyze the significance of a minor sacrificial place named Cātvāla in Vedic Śrauta rituals. Place is one of the five significant factors required for Śrauta rituals. Sacrificial field includes places of major importance and minor importance. It can be decided on the frequency of usage of such a place and myths interwoven thereby. Activities performed in or nearby Cātvāla and myths related to it throw light on its ritualistic as well as magico-religious value.

Key-words: Śrauta ritual, sacrificial place, Cātvāla, ritualistic value, magico-religious value.

I. INTRODUCTION

One can find concept and descriptions of $\dot{S}rauta$ rituals right from the *Sainhitās* to the $\dot{S}rautras\bar{u}tras$. These rituals were performed by establishing three sacred fires by an $\bar{a}hit\bar{a}gn\bar{i}$. They were generally divided as *Somayajñas* and *Haviryajñas*. Vedic Indians had a strong belief that the rituals represent the cosmos and hence the events and actions in both spheres are inter-related. Hence *Śrauta* rituals were an inseparable part of Vedic religion and culture. Naturally, study of these rites has been always a highly significant area while studying ancient Indian religion, society and culture.

Five elements essential in any Vedic ritual include deity, mantras, offering material as well as time and place. One finds ornate discussions about these factors in the ritualistic texts. Regarding the place, initially Vedic ritualists regarded entire earth as sacred place where gods could be worshipped. Thus, the earth was equated with an altar. For instance, in $V\bar{a}jasaneyiSamhit\bar{a}1.22$ or 3.5 the earth is mentioned as 'devayajanī vedih.

More specifically, one comes across a concept of *Devayajana*whichrefers to the sacrificial field. It is elaborately explained in *TaittirīyaSamhitā*6.2.6.1-3 and especially in *ŚatapathaBrāhmaṇa*3.1.1.1-3. The ritualistic texts offer all minute details of such an establishment where elaborate *Somayajñas* or *Haviryajñas* were performed. According to these descriptions, *Devayajana* consisted of certain prominent and

subordinate places. In a *Śrauta* ritual altar, fire-places, *Uttara-vedi*, *Agniciti* can be illustrated as prominent places. While places like $C\bar{a}tv\bar{a}la$, *utkara* etc. are some examples of subordinate places. Though subordinate the Vedic ritualists considered such places crucial for success of the ritual. Similarly, myths surrounding these places further highlight their significance.

Present paper focuses on role of $C\bar{a}tv\bar{a}la$ in Srauta rituals, myths related to it and its magico-religious significance.

II. LOCATION, MEASUREMENTS AND RITUALISTIC PURPOSE OF CĀTVĀLA

Since $C\bar{a}tv\bar{a}la$ is one of the subordinate sacrificial places it is less known. It would be helpful to have a look at certain prominent references to know about the nature of the place called $C\bar{a}tv\bar{a}la$. The term is commonly translated simply as '*pit*'.

While preparing the sacrificial field, $C\bar{a}tv\bar{a}la$ is dug at the depth of length of a knee by digging into the ground. It is said in *KathakaSamhitā*

...dhiṣnyānyupyante'nayorlokayorvidhṛtyai......anirukto'gnirva idevebhyo'pākrāmat sa pṛthivīmprāviśat tam jānudaghne'nvavindattasmāt jānudaghnamkheyamanuvidyaivaitān nivapati....[1].Once, Agni concealed himself from the gods. He was found only after digging the earth at knee-length. Cātvāla is the birth-place of Agni. Hence it should have this depth. The soil which is dug out will be further used for वागर्थः (An International Journal of Sanskrit Research)

preparing the *dhiṣṇyas*. Due to thisAgni will be united with his birth-place.

*TaittirīyaBrāhmaņa*informs us about the exact location and measurement of *Cātvāla*. Sa Samudra prājvalatbhūmyantenaiesa vāvasasamudraḥiyatcātvālaḥiesa u vevasabhūmyantaḥ.....tasmāttrivitastaṃkhananti\sasuvarñiṇaraj atābhyāṃkuśībhyāṃparigrhītaāsītı..... tasmādādityaḥ [2]. It says that the Samudra which was shining at the end of the earth is nothing else but *Cātvāla* at the end of the altar. Since the ocean is three puruṣa deep *Cātvāla* should have a depth of three vitastis. One meaning of the word Samudra is mid-region as per Nighaņtu (I.3). Hence it can be said that *TaittirīyaBrāhmaṇa*has suggested that *Cātvāla* is the mid-region. Further the text has also added that while preparing *Cātvāla* small pieces of gold and silver shall be inserted into it. Thus, it becomes as if āditya.

SatapathaBrāhmaņa has mentioned its shape and has underlined the importance of that shape ...tāmvaicatuhsraktercātvālātharaticatasrovai

disamsarvābhyaivaenāmetaddigbhyoharati [3] \mid So, one shall use the soil from quadrangular *Cātvāla* for preparing Uttara-vedi because these four angles are as if the four regions. Thus, one uses soil as if from four regions and makes it all-pervading.

Later ritualistic texts like Srautasūtras and Sulbasūtras have added some more details in this matter. BSS explains following while describing animal sacrifice uttarena vedim...sphvenoddhatyā'voksya'śamyayācātvālamparimimīte\ at hādhyaryu cātvālāt purīsam uddhanti | [4]. One shall use soil or purīșa from Cātvāla for preparing the Uttara-vedi. A quadrangular pit shall be dug at the distance of two or three prakramās on the north or north-east of the main altar for this purpose. Sides of this pit i.e. Cātvāla shall measure one śamyā However, it does not mention its each depth. KātvāvanaŚrautasūtra 5.3.19-24 mentions that Cātvāla should be located on the north-east of the Uttara-vedi. Its depth should depend upon the quantity of soil required for the *Uttara-vedi*and nābhi. The text defines Cātvāla as 'cātvālapurīşam'.

All the above discussion implies that *Cātvāla* is a pit prepared in the north or north-east corner of the location of *Uttara-vedi*. Soil extracted from it is used to create *Uttara-vedi* and *dhiṣnyas*. Naturally *Cātvāla* is prescribed for the performance of *Somayajñas*, animal sacrifices and *Varuṇapraghāsaparva* where *Uttara-vedi* and *dhiṣṇyas* are required.

III. MYTHOLOGICAL SIGNIFICANCE

It is necessary to contemplate on the myths about a certain place to understand the real importance of that place and actions done on or nearby that place. It will throw light on the significance of that particular place in the ritualistic world and the cosmos. The outcomes indicated by these myths add to the worth of such places.

Therefore, we should now discuss about the actions and myths related to $C\bar{a}tv\bar{a}la$. Some myths are already included in the initial part of this paper. On the basis of the myths, following can be observed in case of $C\bar{a}tv\bar{a}la$.

- 1. Equivalence to womb or birth-place: It is interesting to know that $C\bar{a}tv\bar{a}la$ is considered as birth-place of various sacrificial substances.
- a) Birth-place of the sacrifice: According to TaittirīyaSamhitā, cātvālātdhişņiyānupavapatiyonirvaiyajñasyacātvālam .. [5] dhişņyas are made by using soil from Cātvāla.So it is the womb of the sacrifice. As per Bhattabhāskara's comment, it is also used for preparing the Uttara-vedi. Hence, Cātvāla is the birth-place of the sacrifice.Cātvālātpurīşam ādāya hotriyādi dhişņiyām upa vapati sthalīkaroti. Itatahpur īşam uttarvedyāh karaņātcātvālamyajñasya yonihkāraņam [6] 1

In the rite of *kṛṣṇājinadīkṣā*it is said that a horn of black antelopeshould be used for scratching purpose. After having brought the gifts of priests, this horn shall be disposed of in the *Cātvāla*. Horn of black antelope is the birth-place of the sacrifice and *Cātvāla*is also the birth-place of the sacrifice.*yatpurādakṣiṇābhyonetoḥ* ... *kṛṣṇaviṣāṇāmavacṛtet* ...*syānnītāsu dakṣiṇāsu cātvāle kṛṣṇaviṣāṇām prāsyati yonir vai yajñasya cātvālam kṛṣṇaviṣāṇā yonāveva yonim dadhāt i yajña-sya sayonitvāya*l [7]. So when the two birth-places come together then magico-religiously the sacrifice becomes fully united with its birth-place. This union enhances the effects expected from the sacrifice.

b) Birth-place of water: It is told in MaitrāyanīSamhitā that Cātvāla is the birth-place of waters. Therefore, in a Somasacrifice, sacrificial water named Vasatīvarīfrom Maitrāvaruņa's pitcher is poured into Hotr's pitcher above Cātvāla. So the waterand its birth-place become unified.maitrāvaruņasyacamaseyās ca nigrābhyāstāubhayīrupariṣmāt cātvālasya samanakti samjñ ānam ābhyah k-aroti...cātvālān nir grhyanta eşa vā apām y onih svād eva yoner nigrhyante'skannatvāyal [8].

According to another text this action is done so because this was the place wherefrom the gods left for the heaven. When Adhvaryu does this for the sacrificer then the sacrificer as if, looks at the path for heaven. ... atha hotrcamasevasatīvarīr grhnāti nigrābhyābhyas tad upari up ari cātvālam samsparšayati ato vai devā divam upodakrāma n tad yajamānameva etat svargyam panthānamanu samkhyā payatil[9]. Regarding the very same action T.S. opines that Vasatīvarī is the sacrifice and Cātvāla is the birth-place of sacrifice. So when the Vasatīvarī and Cātvāla come together then the sacrifice is as if placed in its birthplace.... yonir vai yajñasya cātvālam yajño vasatīvarīr hotrcamasam ca maitrāvaruņacamasam ca samsparśya vasatīva rīr vi ānayati yajñasya sayonitvāyal [10].

c) Birth-place of Agni: The ritualistic texts considered the $C\bar{a}tv\bar{a}la$ as the birth-place of Agni or equated it with the Agni himself.

For instance, a legend in *MaitrāyaņīSamhitā* regards *Cātvāla* as the birth-placeof Agni ... *cātvālāthriyante eşa vāagnīnāmyonihsvādevayonervihriyante'skannatvāya* [11] वागर्थः (An International Journal of Sanskrit Research)

. One shall spread the soil taken out from $C\bar{a}tv\bar{a}la$ for making the *dhiṣŋyas* in order to obtain completeness. Since $C\bar{a}tv\bar{a}la$ is the birth-place of the sacrifice, one obtains completeness of the sacrifice. The same treatise prescribes that a sacrificial animal should be taken around the $C\bar{a}tv\bar{a}la$ thrice since it is the birth-place of sacrifice.... $c\bar{a}tv\bar{a}lamapiparyaityaisā vāagnīnāmyonih...|[12].$

Circumspection of the sacred fire is a common practice in Vedic rituals. It is done to purify the being or substance. Oneness of Agni and its birth-place is emphasized here by this particular action.

- d) Equivalence to Agni: Being the womb of Agni, Cātvāla is sometimes equated with Agni himself. That is why it is prescribed in Satarudriya sacrifice thearka-leaf used for offering to Rudra should be thrown into the Catvala. This leaf might prove dangerous for others so it should be thrown in the Cātvāla. Cātvāla which is nothing but the Agni would destroy the leaf.atha tad arkaparnamcātvāleprāsyatil etat vā enena etat raudram kar ma karoti tad etat aśāntam tad etat tiram karoti net idam a *śāntam kaścit abhisthāt tam net hinasad iti tasmāt cātvāle y* adveva cātvāle'gnir esa yat cātvālah tatho hainad eso'gnim sandahati athātam sampad eval [13].
- 2 $C\bar{a}tv\bar{a}la$'s equivalence to $\bar{A}ditya$ and attainment of heaven: *Cātvāla* appears to be closely connected with the heaven. To instantiate, in Vājapeva sacrifice, a caru is offered to Brhaspati and then it is kept inside the Cātvāla. Since *Cātvāla* is *Āditya*, by doing this the sacrificer would obtain the heaven. This idea is found in two different Samhitās...atha esa naivārah saptadaśaśarāvo... tamadhas cātvālam harantīha vā asā āditya āsīt tamito'dhyamum loka m aharan tadyato'dhyamum lokam aharan yat cātvāle'vadad hati yajamanānameva svargam lokam haranti.........[14]and ... cātvāle'vadadhāti iha asā āditya āsīt tam devā ābhyām p arigrhya amum lokam agamayan svargasya lokasya samast vā [15]. Alegend from JaiminīvaBrāhmanainforms us that initially there was Aditya in the place of Catvala. The gods were scared of his heat. So, they sent one third part of him to the earth, mid-region and heaven each. It resulted into creation of Agni on the earth. A sacrificer desirous of the heaven shall prepare the Cātvāla. ādityā etadatrāgraāsīd yatrait ca cātvālam |....sa idam sarvam prātapat | tasya devā h pradāhād abibhayuh tam atas tisrbhir ādadata tisrbhir a ntariksāt tisrbhir divam agamayan [16]. Tāndya Mahābrāh -mana prescribes that in Agnistoma, Bahispavamānāstotra shall be sung while looking at the *Cātvāla*. Since the gods started for the heaven from this very place, even the sacrificer would also attain the same. cātvālamaveksyabahispavamānam stuvantvatra asā āditva ā sīt tam devā bahispavamānena svargam lokam aharan yat c atvalam [17]. The same text (5.4.5) mentions that if Cātvāla is praised with Vasisthanihavaprayer in Mahāvrata ritual, then one attains prosperity and the heaven.

Thus, can be summarized myths related to the $C\bar{a}tv\bar{a}la$ occurring in prominent ritual texts.

IV. MAGICO-RELIGIOUS SIGNIFICANCE OF THE CĀTVĀLA

All ancient religions like Vedic religion regarded magic important. Actually, it is observed that there is a thin borderline in magic and the ancient religions.

One can see both imitative and contagious types of magic applied to the $C\bar{a}tv\bar{a}la$. E.g. when it is prescribed that depth of the $C\bar{a}tv\bar{a}la$ should be equal to the depth where Agni was found then it is imitative magic. Similarly, when it is said that the gods started for the heaven from $C\bar{a}tv\bar{a}la$ and so the sacrificer should perform certain actions near the $C\bar{a}tv\bar{a}la$ and he would reach the heaven, then it is another example of imitative magic. However, when it is said that because $C\bar{a}tv\bar{a}la$ is the birth-place of Agni and so certain actions should be performed there, then it indicates contagious magic.

Thus, can be analyzed the importance given to the $C\bar{a}tv\bar{a}la$ by Vedic ritualists.

CONCLUSION

Preparation of a quadrangular pit i.e. $C\bar{a}tv\bar{a}la$ is prescribed by Vedic ritual texts in rituals where *Uttara-vedi* and the *dhiṣṇyas* are required. Ample references to $C\bar{a}tv\bar{a}la$ and myths woven around it prove the importance bestowed upon the $C\bar{a}tv\bar{a}la.C\bar{a}tv\bar{a}la$ is a remarkable example of magico-religious value conferred even upon the subordinate places. It is found that gods performed many sacrificial actions around the $C\bar{a}tv\bar{a}la$. It has different counterparts in the divine world so it possesses divinity. Since its soil is used for important sacrificial actions and sacrificial things are disposed of into it, it had practical usage too.

REFERENCE

- Satavlekar S. D., (ed.), 'KathakaSamhitā, (4th ed.), Svadhyaya Mandal, Pardi, 1983,(26.1).
- [2] Shamashastri R., (ed.), TaittirīyaBrāhmaņa, Vol. I, MotilalBanarasidass, (Reprint), Delhi, 1985, (1.5.10.1-2).
- [3] Samasrami, (ed.),The SatapathaBrāhmaņa (Madhyandina), comm. Sayana and Hariswami, Vol. 2, Gian Publishing House, Delhi. 1987,(3.5.1.32).
- [4] Kashikar C.G., (ed.),BaudhāyanaŚrautasūtra, Vol.1, Indira Gandhi National Centre for Arts, New Delhi, 2003,(4.2).
- [5] Dharmadhikari T.N., (ed.), TaittirīyaSamhitā, Vol. III, VSM, Pune, 2010, (6.3.1.1).
- [6] Dharmadhikari T.N., (ed.), TaittirīyaSamhitā, Vol. III, VSM, Pune, 2010, (6.3.1.1).
- [7] Dharmadhikari T.N., (ed.),TaittirīyaSamhitā, Vol. III, VSM, Pune, 2010, (6.1.4.24).
- [8] Satavlekar S. D., (ed.), Maitrāyaņī Samhitā, (4th ed.), Svadhyaya Mandal, Pardi, 1983, (4.5.2).
- [9] Shamashastri R., (ed.), TaittirīyaBrāhmaņa, Vol. I, MotilalBanarasidass, (Reprint), Delhi, 1985, (3.9.3.29-30).
- [10] Dharmadhikari T.N., (ed.), TaittirīyaSamhitā, Vol. III, VSM, Pune, 2010, (3.9.3.29-30).
- [11] Satavalekar S. D., (ed.), MaitrayaniSamhitā, (4th ed.), Svadhyaya Mandal, Pardi, 1983, (3.8.10).

वागर्थः (An International Journal of Sanskrit Research)

- [12] Satavalekar S. D., (ed.), MaitrayaniSamhitā, (4th ed.), Svadhyaya Mandal, Pardi, 1983, (3.9.7).
- [13] Samasrami, (ed.), The SatapathaBrāhmaṇa (Madhyandina), comm. Sayana and Hariswami, Vol. 4, Gian Publishing House, Delhi. 1987, (9.1.1.42).
- [14] Satavalekar S. D., (ed.), MaitrayaniSamhitā, (4th ed.), Svadhyaya Mandal, Pardi, 1983, (1.1.17).
- [15] Satavlekar S. D., (ed.), KathakaSamhitā, (4th ed.), Svadhyaya Mandal, Pardi, 1983, (14.7).
- [16] Raghu Vira and Lokesh Chandra, (ed.), Jaiminiya Brāhmaņa of the Samaveda, Saraswati-Vihar, Nagpur, 1954, (2.87).
- [17] Chinnaswami, (ed.), SamavediyaTandyamahabtahmanam, comm. by Sayana, Vol. II, Kashi Sanskrit Series, Chaukhmaba Sanskrit Series Office, Varanasi, 1934, (6.7.2).